



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,478	12/03/2003	Masaki Shinkawa	0001536USU/2215	5887
7590 07/30/2004			EXAMINER	
Charles N. J. Ruggiero, Esq.			NGUYEN, PHUONGCHI T	
-	y, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.	P.		•
10th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Landmark Square			2833	
Stamford, CT 06901-2682			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/726,478 SHINKAWA, MASAKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phuongchi Nguyen	2833			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	— s action is non-final.				
	,				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	own from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2003</u> is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date) 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shyu (US4666232) in view of Pauza et al (US5890926).

In regarding to claim 1, Shyu discloses an antenna cord plug structure connecting a coaxial cable, which connects an antenna device and a transmitter-receiver, with the antenna device, the antenna cord plug structure comprising a terminal portion (1) (figure 1) formed on one of end portions of the coaxial cable (a) and connected to the antenna device (it is inherent), the terminal portion (1) comprising a central terminal (3) formed on a distal portion and connected to a central conductor (c) of the coaxial cable (a), and an external terminal (2) formed to cover a part of the coaxial cable (a), connected to an external conductor (b) of the coaxial cable (column 2, lines 29-31), and having a first end and second end, in which the central conductor (c) is arranged at the first end of the external terminal (2) via an insulation portion (of a) and the coaxial cable (a) is extended from the second end of the external terminal (2). Shyu lacks a plug body. However, Pauza et al teaches (figure 3) a plug body (50) comprising an insertion opening (adjacent 56) into which the coaxial cable (42) is inserted and an engaging portion (62) engaging and fixing the coaxial cable (42) inserted into the insertion opening (adjacent 56) in a state of being bent at approximately 90 degrees. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify the antenna cord plug

of Shyu by providing the plug body as taught by Pauza et al for holder the coaxial cable in a certain location.

In regarding to claim 2, Shyu discloses the invention, but lacks an insulating plug body. However, Pauza teaches the plug body (50) (figure 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify the antenna cord plug of Shyu by providing the plug body as taught by Pauza et al and made the plug body by insulative material for prevent electric shock when the coaxial cable connected to the antenna cord plug.

In regarding to claim 3, Shyu discloses the invention, but lacks the end of the external terminal abuts on the insertion opening. However, Pauza teaches the end of the external terminal (46) abuts on the insertion opening (adjacent 56) of the engaging portion (62) (figure 4). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to connect tightly the end of the external terminal of the antenna plug of Shyu next to the insertion opening of the plug body as taught by Pauza et al for having a good connection between the antenna cord plug and the plug body.

In regarding to claim 4, further in view of claim 3, a fitting portion cited in claim 4 is understood as a broad term. Therefore, the member (64) of Pauza is called a fitting portion (figure 3). Claim 4 is rejected for the same reason of claim 3.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would 3. be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,478 Page 4

Art Unit: 2833

coaxial cable to press the coaxial cable into.

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: In regarding claim 5, none of prior art teaches so suggests an elastic deformation of the insulating resin plug body for holding the coaxial cable comprising an insertion opening and an engaging portion in a state of being bent at approximately 90 degrees with a slot portion of the engaging portion having a smaller width than a diameter of the

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuongchi Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2012. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). m/1

PCN

July 22, 2004